

All mod cons

Mike Mudge investigates the Chinese remainder theorem (CRT).

Definition

A is said to be congruent to B modulo m, if and only if A-B is an integer multiple of m. This relationship is written $A \equiv B \pmod{m}$ or simply $A \equiv B(m)$. Alternatively, we may say that A and B leave the same remainder when divided by m; the remainder is called the residue of A (or B) modula m. For example, $32 \equiv 17(5)$; also $982 \equiv -143(9)$.

Historical note

A simple example, taken from a whole family of ancient Chinese puzzles, asks for a number which leaves a remainder 1 when divided by 3, a remainder 2 when divided by 5, and a remainder 3 when divided by 7.

A solution is therefore sought to the three simultaneous congruences: $x \equiv 1(3)$; $x \equiv 2(5)$; $x \equiv 3(7)$. . .

The Chinese Remainder theorem

Let m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r be pairwise relatively prime integers. Then the system of simultaneous congruences: $x \equiv a_1(m_1)$; $x \equiv a_2(m_2)$; . . . $x \equiv a_r(m_r)$ has a unique solution modulo $M = m_1 m_2 \dots m_r$.

Constructively, if $M_k = M/m_k$ for $k=1, 2, \dots, r$ and if further y_k is defined by $M_k y_k \equiv 1(m_k)$ then the required solution is

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \dots + a_r M_r y_r.$$

For complete proof see, for example, KH Rosen, *Elementary Number Theory and its Applications*, Addison-Wesley 1984.

Example

To solve the three simultaneous congruences I above: $a_1=1, a_2=2, a_3=3$; $M=3.5.7=105, M_1=105/3=35, M_2=105/5=21, M_3=105/7=15$.

Solve $35y_1 \equiv 1(3)$, thus $2y_1 \equiv 1(3)$ or $y_1 \equiv 2(3)$; similarly from $21y_2 \equiv 1(5)$ find $y_2 \equiv 1(5)$ and from $15y_3 \equiv 1(7)$ find $y_3 \equiv 1(7)$. Hence from CRT $x \equiv 1.35.2 + 2.21.1 + 3.15.1 \equiv 157 \equiv 52(105)$.

Example

To solve the three simultaneous congruences $x \equiv 1(5)$; $x \equiv 2(6)$ and $x \equiv 3(7)$ use $a_1=1, a_2=2, a_3=3, m_1=5, m_2=6$ and $m_3=7$ to yield $M=210, M_1=42, M_2=35, M_3=30, y_1=3(5), y_2=5(6), y_3=4(7)$ and hence $x \equiv 206(210)$.

Multi-length addition

Suppose that the word size of a computer is only 100, but that we wish to do arithmetic with integers as large as 10^6 . First find pairwise relatively prime integers less than 100 with a product greater than 10^6 ; say 99, 98, 97 and 95. Convert integers less than 10^6 into quadruplets consisting of

their least positive residues modulo the above integers. (Note This requires multi-precision operations but is only carried out once for all.)

Finally carry out the required arithmetic operations on the members of the appropriate quadruplets. (to the appropriate modulus), and combine the results using the CRT.

Example To add $x=123684$ and $y=413456$ on a computer of word size 100 using the moduli suggested above:

$$x \equiv 33(99), y \equiv 32(99) \text{ hence } x+y \equiv 65(99)$$

$$x \equiv 8(98), y \equiv 92(98) \text{ hence } x+y \equiv 2(98)$$

$$x \equiv 9(97), y \equiv 42(97) \text{ hence } x+y \equiv 51(97)$$

$$x \equiv 89(95), y \equiv 16(95) \text{ hence } x+y \equiv 10(95)$$

. . . Solving II by CRT using $M=89403930, M_1=903070, M_2=912288, M_3=921690, M_4=941094$ yields $y_1 \equiv 37(99), y_2 \equiv 38(98), y_3 \equiv 24(97), y_4 \equiv 4(95)$ hence by CRT, $x+y \equiv 537140$ (89403930) and by 'order of magnitude test' $x+y=537140$.

Readers who doubt the usefulness of this approach should consult D Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming: Semi-Numerical Algorithms*, Volume 2 (2nd edition, Addison-Wesley 1981).

Problem Readers are invited to write computer programs to:

- 1) Solve systems of linear congruences of the type found in the CRT. Test case: $x \equiv 2, 3, 4, 5 \pmod{6}$ moduli 11, 12, 13, 17 & 19. Answer $x \equiv 150999(554268)$.
- 2) Carry out multi-precision addition and multiplication

using the CRT.

Attempts at either or both of these projects may be sent to Mike Mudge, 'Square Acre', Stourbridge Road, Penn, South Staffordshire WV4 5NF, tel: (0902) 892141, by 1 May 1989.

Review, September

Rare and very rare primes generated an above average response, probably due to the omission of the 'square' on the modulus in the Wilson Primes: . . . p_v². . . sorry!

Sierpinski Primes: if there are only finitely many then there are infinitely many composite Fermat Numbers. Reg Bond pointed out that the given bound of 3×10^{10} is at least 4.137×10^{10} .

Best general reference once again, *The Book of Prime Number Records* by Paulo Ribenboim, Springer-Verlag 1988. I do have a list of 850 prime numbers with more than 1000 digits constructed by Samuel Yates, if any reader is interested — 15 have more than 10,000 digits.

This month's prizewinner is John C McCarthy of 168 Fairholme Drive, Mansfield, Notts NG19 6DU, whose work shows that success can be achieved in interpretative Superbasic on a QL.

Mike Mudge welcomes correspondence on any subject within the areas of number theory and other computational mathematics. Particularly welcome are suggestions, either general or specific, for future Numbers Count articles. All letters will be answered in due course.

LEISURE LINES

Brainteasers courtesy of JJ Chessa.

Quickie

Which is the odd one out in the following set?

- HIJACK CANOPY THIRST
- MONDAY DEFEAT
- STUPID SIGHING

No prizes, so don't send answers.

Prize Puzzle

Very simple this month — perhaps.

What is the smallest number that can be written using only the digits 3, 5 and 7 such that both the number and also the sum of its digits are exactly divisible by 3, 5 and 7?

Answer on postcards (or backs of envelopes) to: PCW Prize Puzzle March, PCW Editorial, VNU House, 32-32 Broadwick Street, London W1A 2HG, to arrive not later than last post on 31 March 1989. Good Luck!

Winners

Because of the Xmas holiday and advanced publishing deadlines, the winners of the November and December prize puzzles are announced in this issue.

November 1988

101 entries — mostly correct. The winner was RT Deadill of Southampton with the correct solution of £47.41 starting wage.

December 1988

The Christmas crossword attracted about 150 entries. The winner was Mr Mark Gill of Abingdon, Oxfordshire and the solution is shown alongside.

Our congratulations to both winners and their prizes are on their way. To all the near misses, keep trying!

1	0	2	0	1		2	6		5	8	1	5
0		0		6	7	6		1	4	5	0	
10	1	1	2		9	0		4	1	0		
	3		2	0	5		2	5			2	
16		1	3	0		2	9			6	1	
0		6	1		1	8	0		5	6	0	
23	7	9		1	3	0		1	2		2	
27	5	2		1	8		2	5	7		5	
0			1	2		1	6	2		5		
	3	6	0		1	2			3	1	3	
38	1	1	2	0		5	1	4		3		2
40	1	4	4		1	3		4	0	8	0	4